UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,999	09/12/2007	Maria Unni Romer	59866.000008	2054
	7590 10/15/2010 RTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.		INER	
Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064			JEAN-LOUIS, SAMIRA JM	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com docketing@rmsclaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
N 42 CAL 1	10/594,999	   ROMER ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SAMIRA JEAN-LOUIS	1627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on</li></ul></li></ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	mendment which pla	aces the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €	ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte	mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no			
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).</li> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> </ul>			
after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing of Trans	isinission dated	
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:			
The Examiner contacted Attorney Aksman on 10/07 back and stated that no response was filed.	7/10 regarding the status of the ca	ase. Attorney Ask	kman called
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1627			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181. should be	promptly filed to